

**MERRIMACK VILLAGE DISTRICT
BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
APRIL 19, 2021
MEETING MINUTES
(approved May 17, 2021)**

A regular meeting of the Board of Commissioners was conducted on April 19, 2021 at 5:01 p.m. at 2 Greens Pond Road, Merrimack, NH.

Chairman, Donald Provencher presided:

Members of the Board present: Wolfram von Schoen, Vice Chairman
 John Lyons, Personnel Liaison
 Kenneth Ayers
 Paul McLaughlin

Members of the Board Absent:

Also in Attendance: Ron Miner, Superintendent
 Jill Lavoie, Business Manager
 Michele Holton, Finance/H.R. Director

FINANCE/HUMAN RESOURCES REVIEW

A. Analysis of Revenue and Expenditures Report

Michele Holton, Finance/H.R. Director, informed the Board that she had sent the commissioners an email notifying them of a revision to the February 2021 entry. She noted that she posted the revision and provided the commissioners with revised financials. Director Holton stated the total income line is the same and explained that \$28,000 moved from Domestic to Mercantile and roughly \$21,000 moved from Domestic to Meter Charges. She informed the commissioners that it was a change in a spreadsheet that was incorrect. It was found during a review and the spreadsheet has been corrected.

Director Holton informed the commission that being through March, Merrimack Village District (MVD) is 75% through the fiscal year. The total revenues are at 73.1% and expenses are at 60.7%. Director Holton noted that with COVID restrictions being lifted mercantile usage is starting to rise. She also noted that school usage is still unpredictable.

Commissioner K. Ayers entered the BOC Meeting at 5:04 p.m.

Director Holton noted a net ordinary income of \$510,000 versus roughly \$712,000 compared to the previous year.

Vice Chairman W. von Schoen clarified that MVD is not a for-profit organization and is not being measured by increasing revenue each year, but rather the net income. He stated that since the cost is trailing in percentage versus the revenue, MVD is in good shape. Director Holton agreed that MVD is in good shape, especially considering all that has gone on in the last year, including the drought and the COVID situation. Director Holton stated that the budget was built as a bottom-line budget to cover the anticipated expenses. Director Holton also informed the commissioners that there are goals for Capital Reserve Fund contributions from both the revenue and expense side. A combination of

being over on revenues and under on expenses has usually provided the surplus needed to make these contributions.

B. Bank Account Summary Review

Director Holton informed the commission that after the Annual Meeting the \$448,000 capital reserve transfers were processed in April. She also noted that a bond payment for the Wells 7 & 8 Iron and Manganese Treatment Plant loan payment was also issued in April at over \$260,000. Director Holton informed the board that she transferred back some of the cash flow transfers from the operating account that had been done to cover the Turkey Hill Booster Station and Wells 2, 3, 7 & 8 Treatment Plants project payments while NHDES was setting up the Automated Clearing House (ACH) payment process. These returned transfers totaled \$500,000 placed back into the operating account in April. All these transactions will be reflected when the April financials are completed.

REGULAR SESSION

1. Board of Commissioners to elect the Chairman, Vice Chairman, and Personnel Liaison for 2021-2022.

Chairman D. Provencher called for nominations for Chairman of the Merrimack Village District Board of Commissioners for the 2021-2022 term.

**COMMISSIONER K. AYERS NOMINATED COMMISSIONER D. PROVENCHER
SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER P. MCLAUGHLIN**

**VOTE ON ELECTION OF D. PROVENCHER TO THE POSITION OF CHAIRMAN OF THE
MERRIMACK VILLAGE DISTRICT BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS FOR THE 2021-2022
TERM**

A Viva Voce was conducted, which resulted as follows:

Yea:	Kenneth Ayers, Paul McLaughlin, Wolf von Schoen, John Lyons,
	4
Nay:	0

MOTION CARRIED

4-0-1

D. Provencher abstained

Chairman D. Provencher called for nominations for Vice Chairman of the Merrimack Village District Board of Commissioners for the 2021-2022 term.

**COMMISSIONER W. VON SCHOEN NOMINATED COMMISSIONER J. LYONS
SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER P. MCLAUGHLIN**

Commissioner J. Lyons declined the nomination.

**COMMISSIONER J. LYONS NOMINATED COMMISSIONER W. VON SCHOEN
SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER K. AYERS**

VOTE ON ELECTION OF W. VON SCHOEN TO THE POSITION OF VICE CHAIRMAN OF THE MERRIMACK VILLAGE DISTRICT BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS FOR THE 2010-2022 TERM

A Viva Voce was conducted, which resulted as follows:

Yea: Kenneth Ayers, Paul McLaughlin, Don Provencher, John Lyons,
4
Nay: 0

MOTION CARRIED

4-0-1

W. von Schoen abstained.

Chairman D. Provencher called for nominations for Personnel Liaison of the Merrimack Village District Board of Commissioners for the 2021-2022 term.

**COMMISSIONER J. LYONS NOMINATED COMMISSIONER P. MCLAUGHLIN
SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER W. VON SCHOEN**

VOTE ON ELECTION OF P. MCLAUGHLIN TO THE POSITION OF PERSONNEL LIAISON OF THE MERRIMACK VILLAGE DISTRICT BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS FOR THE 2021-2022 TERM

A Viva Voce was conducted, which resulted as follows:

Yea: Kenneth Ayers, Don Provencher, Wolf von Schoen, John Lyons,
4
Nay: 0

MOTION CARRIED

4-0-1

P. McLaughlin abstained

Chairman D. Provencher called for nominations for Finance Liaison of the Merrimack Village District Board of Commissioners for the 2021-2022 term.

**COMMISSIONER W. VON SCHOEN NOMINATED COMMISSIONER J. LYONS
SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER K. AYERS**

VOTE ON ELECTION OF J. LYONS TO THE POSITION OF FINANCE LIAISON OF THE MERRIMACK VILLAGE DISTRICT BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS FOR THE 2021-2022 TERM

A Viva Voce was conducted, which resulted as follows:

Yea: Kenneth Ayers, Don Provencher, Wolf von Schoen, Paul McLaughlin,
4
Nay: 0

MOTION CARRIED

4-0-1

J. Lyons abstained

2. Board of Commissioners to hear project updates from Jamie Emery of Emery & Garrett Groundwater/GZA to include:

a) Well #6 and the Brownfields Program

Jamie Emery reminded the commissioners of their discussion at a recent meeting regarding submitting a letter prepared by the Chairman of the Board of Commissioners to the Town Selectman requesting consideration that a Brownfields program be applied to the location of Merrimack Industrial Metals. Merrimack Industrial Metals was in the vicinity of MVD Well 6 and was the responsible party for volatile organic compounds (VOC) contamination at Well 6. The site has been orphaned and the owners have gone bankrupt. Emery noted that in its dormant state, nothing is happening that would improve the water quality, and therefore Well 6 would not be eligible to be used as a water supply source in the future. Emery informed the commissioners that Merrimack Industrial Metals was known to be the source of VOCs, and, as evidenced by water samples taken at Well 6, there is PFAS contamination as well. Emery drafted a letter on behalf of the MVD. At this time, Emery presented the letter to the Commissioners. Emery explained to the commissioners that the next step, should MVD choose to continue, would be for the Town of Merrimack to offer support on the initiative, followed by a meeting with NHDES. NHDES would then determine how much funding would be available. A work scope would be put together based on funding availability. Chairman D. Provencher asked if this locks the MVD into a commitment for future funding. Emery answered that it does not commit MVD or the Town of Merrimack to anything. Vice Chairman W. von Schoen asked if this money is only for remediation of the contaminated property and not for the remediation of damages that occur due to that contaminated property. Emery answered that he believed the funding is only for the remediation of the contaminated property, but it is a question that should be asked at the meeting.

MOTION BY J. LYONS TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE LETTER WRITTEN BY JAMIE EMERY CONTAINING THE SIGNATURES OF THE CHAIRMAN AND THE SUPERINTENDENT

MOTION SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER P. MCLAUGHLIN

A Viva Voce was conducted, which resulted as follows:

Yea:	Donald Provencher, Wolf von Schoen, John Lyons, Kenneth Ayers, Paul McLaughlin
	5
Nay:	0

MOTION CARRIED

5-0-0

b) Proposal for exploration at 69 Wilson Hill Road

Jaime Emery provided the Commissioners with a proposal for \$5,900 to review the potential favorability of the area at 69 Wilson Hill Road. Emery clarified that favorability would indicate a non-PFAS related source. This exploration would give the board a sense as to whether or not

there is a sufficient amount of favorability to do some geophysics. A water main extension would be needed to tie this into the MVD system. Superintendent R. Miner clarified that the parcel in question is 4B 127.

MOTION BY J. LYONS TO PROCEED WITH THE MARCH 30, 2021 GROUNDWATER EXPLORATION AND DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL FOR 69 WILSON HILL ROAD IN THE AMOUNT OF \$5,900, WITH FUNDS TO COME FROM THE NEW WELL FUNDS MOTION SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER P. MCLAUGHLIN

A Viva Voce was conducted, which resulted as follows:

Yea: Donald Provencher, Wolf von Schoen, John Lyons, Kenneth Ayers, Paul McLaughlin
5
Nay: 0

**MOTION CARRIED
5-0-0**

According to Emery, this will take roughly 45 days.

c) Well 9

Jamie Emery informed the commissioners that Chairman D. Provencher had written him an email expressing concern about the drought. Merrimack is currently in a moderate drought. Emery noted that it sends a mixed message to run a pumping test, which discharges water onto the ground, while asking people to conserve water. There were questions as to whether or not the water can be pumped back into the system. Emery noted that the answer was not straight forward. Emery informed the commissioners that there are obstacles to overcome in order to make that happen, which include permits from the state, among other things. Water would be pumped from the well, through the 12” pipe, into a temporary storage tank, and would then be re-pumped into the distribution system. Emery noted that the tank would need to be an enclosed tank, but it is complicated to pump into a temporary tank. Emery informed the commissioners that he is inclined to use the pump in the test well, pumping directly into the distribution system with a VFD drive and a flow meter, while running MVD Well 2 non-stop during the pump test. Wells 7 & 8 will likely be shut off during this time while operating the difference from Wells 4 & 5.

Emery informed the commissioners that he has not yet put together a price of the additional work that will need to be done, according to the State. The State has also requested a greater number of piezometers, which Emery has installed. The State is also requesting a wetlands assessment. Emery explained that he will bring additional pricing to the commissioners once the final pumping is sorted out.

Commissioner J. Lyons asked how much water would be lost if the water was allowed to just run into the ground, and if some would return to the aquifer. Emery answered that the State wants to see what would happen under operational conditions. He noted that the only other option in the pumping test plan would be to run it 1,000’ further, beyond Well 2, to get it out of the recharge area. The State does not want the test to replenish the wetlands or any vernal pools. Keith Pratt, of Underwood Engineers, Inc, asked Emery how long the pump test was going to be. Emery answered that it needed to be a minimum of five days. Pratt noted that at five days, or even a little longer, it is under roughly 10M gallons of water.

Vice Chairman W. von Schoen asked if MVD were to purchase the production pump and the well did not come to fruition, would MVD be able to use the pump and VFD at another well house to be developed later on. Emery asked Peter Pitsas, with Underwood Engineers, Inc, about the size of the pump being used for Well 2. Pitsas answered that the pump is 200 horsepower. Returning to Vice Chairman W. von Schoen's question, Emery answered that the pump could be used as a replacement for Well 2. Pitsas informed Emery that the Well 2 pump is a vertical turbine, with the motor on top, versus a submersible. Pitsas noted that in order to use the pump in a future project the pump would need to meet American Iron and Steel (AIS) requirements, due to the funding MVD received. Pitsas stated that there are a lot of possible issues and risks with buying equipment, such as VFDs, to be used for later projects. Pratt asked the commissioners if this was a concern over perception or a concern of the impact on long-term supplies over the drought. He suggested that it may be significantly less money to purchase water through Pennichuck Water Works (PWW) during the pump test. Chairman D. Provencher answered that his original concern was the delay of the pump test, due to the delay in ordering the pump test discharge pipe, and due to the omission of adequate notification of private well owners surrounding the area of influence from pumping, as noted in DES' response letter from their review of the preliminary application for large groundwater withdrawal at Well-9. A secondary concern was that the pump test would possibly take place during a dry or drought period. Commissioner J. Lyons noted that he was fine not pumping the water back into the system. Commissioner P. McLaughlin agreed, noting the complications that coincide with attempting to pump it back into the system. It was noted that pumping to waste is the standard for a pump test. Pitsas also noted that the water is coming from a well that is not currently active. Emery will move forward with the plan of pumping to waste.

3. Public Comment

At this time, Chairman D. Provencher opened public comment.

Jerry Hill, Oxford Street, Merrimack, asked J. Emery what the purpose of the pump test was. Emery answered that MVD is putting in a new well to replace Well 3, for the time being, and the State requires a pump test to determine the sustainable yield, impacts on local wetlands and ground water sources, and the impact on existing supply wells (in this case, Well 2). There is a detailed and rigorous process that the State requires for anyone putting in and testing a new public drinking water source.

Laurene Allen, French Ct, Merrimack, stated that she had noticed that something had been mentioned about sending letters to private well owners who are currently getting bottled water. She stated that she has not yet heard of a commitment from NHDES of Saint Gobain Performance Plastics (SGPP) to give an estimate of what they are doing for private well owners. She asked the commissioners to speak more on that. Chairman D. Provencher clarified that the topic of discussion wasn't related to water quality sampling of private wells, but rather, a report that was submitted to NHDES as MVD pursues a new well. NHDES requires MVD to identify private wells within a specific area of influence from pumping of the new well and determine if the private well owners would like MVD to monitor their wells during the pump test to evaluate any hydraulic impacts.

4. Board of Commissioners to hear project updates from Underwood Engineers, Inc to include:

a) Wells 7 & 8 update

Peter Pitsas informed the commissioners that the contractor is moving along at a nice pace. The wells have been cleaned. They will be moving to Wells 2 & 3 with the hopes of having them completed by Memorial Day. The contractor is hoping to get as much work done as possible prior to the arrival of the vessels. The vessels are scheduled to be delivered on June 7, 2021.

b) Well 2 update

At the special meeting on April 12, 2021, the commissioners approved the contract for Kinsmen. The award recommendation was sent to NHDES, who concurred. Superintendent R. Miner signed the notice of award on April 19, 2021, and a \$10,000 check was delivered to Kinsmen.

c) Wells 4 & 5 PFAS GAC sample results and media change out

K. Pratt provided the commissioners with a printout showing the breakthrough of short chain PFAS compounds. He noted that nothing else was breaking through. Pratt informed the commissioners that 22% of the carbon has been used, roughly ¼ of its anticipated life based on the warranty established with Evoqua. Underwood has calculated that the carbon has processed just short of 15,000 bed volumes, and the warranty was for 60,000 bed volumes. Pratt noted that the warranty was just for the regulated PFAS contaminants. According to Pratt, breakthrough has been seen in the lead vessel at ports 25% and 50%, but nothing at the 75% level yet. Chairman D. Provencher asked what the target was for these wells. He stated that the public presentation for the Warrant Articles for Wells 2, 3, 7 & 8 noted that the treatment goal was non-detect of all PFAS compounds. Chairman D. Provencher asked for the opinions of the commissioners on scheduling a change out of the lead vessel as soon as PFBA breaks through 100% of the first vessel. He noted that there was a second (lag) vessel in place, but allowing PFBA to saturate the second vessel may require that vessel to be replaced sooner than otherwise necessary. Commissioner D. Provencher stated that it was his opinion that the board should move to change out the media once PFBA breakthrough is seen. Commissioner K. Ayers asked K. Pratt and P. Pitsas what other communities had experienced with their own GAC systems. Pratt answered that Pease is seeing breakthrough of PFBA in their lag vessel after roughly six months. He noted that Pease has much higher levels of PFAS in their water than Merrimack does. Pitsas suggested waiting a little longer and comparing information to the RSSCT (Rapid Small Scale Column Test) results, to give a better idea for making future decisions. Commissioner J. Lyons noted that he was not sure why MVD would replace the lead vessel before exhaustion. Vice Chairman W. von Schoen reminded the commissioners of a phone call with Evoqua where they said if MVD allows the lead vessel to leak through the short chains, it will be loading the lag vessel early on with short chains. He noted his belief of MVD's responsibility to serve water with no contaminants. Vice Chairman W. von Schoen stated that until at least the next Annual Meeting, he would suggest replacing the vessel proactively, early enough that there would be no breakthrough for the finished water. It was noted that the Warrant Articles do not apply to Wells 4 & 5. Vice Chairman W. von Schoen stated that he believes that the rate payers should decide, possibly at the next Annual Meeting, the desired outcome for Wells 4 & 5.

Chairman D. Provencher stated that the Warrant Articles for Wells 2, 3, 7 & 8 were worded to "reduce PFAS", not to eliminate / bring to non-detect. The PowerPoint presentations that Underwood prepared on behalf of MVD did state the goal to be non-detect. Chairman D.

Provencher stated that he feels all the wells should be treated uniformly, despite the Warrant Articles only being specified for Wells 2, 3, 7 & 8.

Commissioner K. Ayers asked what the turnaround time for is for changing GAC. Superintendent R. Miner answered that he believed it to be a three-week turnaround. Superintendent R. Miner stated that he would like to hold off on changing out the GAC to collect data. Chairman D. Provencher offered another perspective, stating that Wells 4 & 5 are the only wells currently being treated for PFAS, and every other MVD well has PFBA present. Therefore, PFBA is still currently being discharged into the distribution system regardless. Once PFAS treatment is provided for all MVD wells, it would make sense to treat PFAS proactively and uniformly. Commissioner K. Ayers stated his belief that the perception to the public was non-detect PFAS, despite the Warrant Articles not stating that. He also suggested the possibility of abandoning Wells 4 & 5 in the future due to their proximity to SGPP. Superintendent R. Miner also suggested looking into the cost of Artificial Recharge, which may lower the O & M cost for media change outs at Wells 4 & 5. Chairman D. Provencher agreed with Commissioner Ayers, stating that the warrant articles were presented as non-detect and he believes that is what the voters expected.

Vice Chairman W. von Schoen introduced the idea of adding an additional media that focused on the short chains. Chairman D. Provencher suggested testing an anion resin and asked if there was a way to run an off-line test of anion resin, or to put a layer of anion resin in the GAC vessels. Pitsas explained that he does not think it would be possible to “sandwich” the media. Pitsas said he would look further into this. Pitsas noted that MVD settled with GAC due to the settlement agreement with SGPP.

Public Comment

Laurene Allen, French Ct, Merrimack, stated her agreement with waiting a little longer before changing out the media. She also brought up the importance of considering the issue of chloride. Allen noted that the water treatment at MVD is not comparable to treatment at Pease (NH) and Hoosick Falls (NY) and suggested checking with water utilities in North Carolina, specifically Brunswick and Wilmington. Allen also recommended a meeting with the “new Clark Freise”, the Assistant Commissioner at NHDES.

d) Water availability and allocation status review for new construction

Superintendent R. Miner provided the commissioners with a sample of the Water and Fire Sprinkler Service Entrance Application. Vice Chairman W. von Schoen asked how MVD determines new buy ins to be appropriate. Currently, the District is spending large amounts of money on improving the water system. Vice Chairman W. von Schoen also asked how this investment is being reflected in the connection fees for new or expanding customers and what authority can be placed on new developments. Pratt informed the commissioners that an upcoming discussion on conservation rates may tie in a discussion on rules, regulations, and ordinances. One fee that has been regularly updated is the System Development Charges (SDC), which is updated by industry standards. Chairman D. Provencher asked about how water usage, such as irrigation, could be monitored and enforced in circumstances where someone applies for a water allocation and is approved under a specific amount, and then uses significantly more than stated. It was noted that there is not a space for irrigation requests on the service entrance application provided by Superintendent R. Miner. Superintendent R. Miner noted that the application does not approve irrigation water. Superintendent R. Miner explained that they apply for a certain amount of water, once it is approved the applicant would receive a water availability

letter with a letter from Underwood outline restrictions. Vice Chairman W. von Schoen stated that he does not feel this projects the summertime effects of irrigation. Pratt informed the commissioners that MVD is seeing downward trends with max day demands. Pratt noted that if the MVD wells are producing at 100% there is 5.4 MGD available, and with the addition of PWW, there is 6.4 MGD available. There is a sustained capacity of 420 GPM for 365 days at wells 4/5.

5. Board of Commissioners to discuss drought conditions and water restrictions.

Superintendent R. Miner noted that Merrimack is currently in a moderate drought. He also noted that technically the water restrictions put in place in 2020 had yet to be removed and he wanted to present to the board the idea of continuing the same restrictions moving forward in an effort to be proactive. Chairman D. Provencher stated that he would be comfortable maintaining the typical odd-even restrictions, to be changed if an increase in demand and a drop in the storage tank levels are seen. Emery informed the commissioners that in some communities, such as Dover, conservation measures are starting to be put in place. He recommended watching things carefully moving forward and reviewing every two weeks.

6. Board of Commissioners to discuss the request of Steve Larson to change the voting process to be in line with the Town. (tabled to May BOC meeting)

7. Board of Commissioners to review the minutes from the March 15, 2021 Regular Meeting and the March 18, 2021 Non-public session.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Board of Commissioners Public Session March 15, 2021

No amendments were offered.

MOTION BY COMMISSIONER W. VON SCHOEN TO ACCEPT THE MEETING MINUTES OF THE MARCH 15, 2021 PUBLIC BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS MEETING AS PRESENTED

MOTION SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER J. LYONS

A Viva Voce Roll Call was conducted, which resulted as follows:

Yea: Donald Provencher, Wolfram von Schoen, Kenneth Ayers, John Lyons, Paul McLaughlin

5

Nay:

0

MOTION CARRIED

5-0-0

Board of Commissioners Non-Public Meeting March 18, 2021

No amendments were offered.

MOTION BY COMMISSIONER J. LYONS TO ACCEPT THE MEETING MINUTES OF THE MARCH 18, 2021 NON-PUBLIC BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS SPECIAL MEETING AS PRESENTED

MOTION SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER K. AYERS

A Viva Voce Roll Call was conducted, which resulted as follows:

Yea: Donald Provencher, Wolfram von Schoen, Kenneth Ayers, John Lyons

4

Nay:

0

Commissioner P. McLaughlin abstained

MOTION CARRIED

4-0-1

8. Board of Commissioners to review Action Items from previous meetings and those to be added from this meeting.

The Commissioners reviewed the list of Action Items, removing tasks that have been completed.

9. Old Business

None

10. New Business

Vice Chairman W. von Schoen noted that the position of Treasurer, which was recently changed to an appointed position, has not yet been discussed. Business Manager J. Lavoie informed the commissioners that the current elected Treasurer, Kathy Stack, will continue the role of Treasurer for a few months until the position is filled. The position will be listed as needing to be filled and interviews will take place. It is a paid position.

Superintendent R. Miner informed the commissioners that Vice Chairman W. von Schoen had questioned water main breaks. Superintendent R. Miner noted that the MVD had 24 notifications go out in the last year, 3 were main line breaks, 3 were entrance leaks, 1 tank overflow, and 1 construction strike (break), and the remainder were change in water quality notifications. The commissioners expressed concern about the frequency of the breaks. This will be discussed further with Underwood during corrosion control discussions.

11. Superintendent’s Report

Superintendent R. Miner informed the commissioners that the lead and copper sampling will take place the week of May 17, 2021. The letters have gone out to notify the appropriate residents. He also noted that Well 2 will be getting cleaned the week of April 26, 2021, and the Well 3 cleaning will follow.

12. Questions from the Public/Press

Laurene Allen, French Ct., Merrimack, informed the commissioners that she had sat on a SGPP Community Advisory Committee (CAC), as a Community Liaison since November 2019. She noted that the Town of Merrimack has offered to record the meetings for public access on Merrimack TV, which has been continuously delayed. She noted that in conversations regarding PFAS treatment media breakthrough at the most recent CAC meeting, SGPP’s environmental expert stated that all the work was done by the MVD Engineers and the MVD “experts” were the ones to make all of the recommendations and the deal was made based on those recommendations. Allen stated that she wanted to get that on record for the Merrimack community. She stated that the arrogance of the comment was the final straw for her and she has since resigned from the CAC position.

ADJOURNMENT

MOTION BY COMMISSIONER K. AYERS TO ADJOURN MOTION SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER P. MCLAUGHLIN

A Viva Voce was conducted, which resulted as follows:

Yea: Donald Provencher, Wolf von Schoen, John Lyons, Kenneth Ayers, Paul McLaughlin

5

Nay:

0

MOTION CARRIED

5-0-0

The April 19, 2021 meeting of the Board of Commissioners was adjourned at 8:47 p.m.

Submitted by Amanda McKenna, Recording Secretary